arXiv:1607.06421v1 [math.AP] 21 Jul 2016

NONEXISTENCE OF SMALL, ODD BREATHERS FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS

MICHAŁ KOWALCZYK, YVAN MARTEL, AND CLAUDIO MUÑOZ

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show that for a large class of nonlinear wave equations with *odd* nonlinearities, any globally defined odd solution which is small in the energy space decays to 0 in the local energy norm. In particular, this result shows nonexistence of small, odd breathers for some classical nonlinear Klein Gordon equations such as the sine Gordon equation and ϕ^4 and ϕ^6 models. It also partially answers a question of Soffer and Weinstein in [39, p. 19] about nonexistence of breathers for the cubic NLKG in dimension one.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Main result.** In this note, we consider the following class of nonlinear wave equations,

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u = mu + f(u), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and the nonlinearity $f\colon \mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a C^1, *odd* function such that for some $p>1$,

$$|f'(u)| \lesssim |u|^{p-1}, \qquad \forall |u|<1.$$

Denote $F(u)=\int_0^u f$. An important property of (1.1) is the conservation of *energy*

$$E(u,\partial_t u) := \int \frac{1}{2}\partial_t u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x u^2 - \frac{m}{2}u^2 - F(u), \tag{1.2}$$

along the flow. In particular, $H^1\times L^2$ perturbations of the zero solution are referred as *perturbations in the energy space*. For many standard examples, the Cauchy problem for the model (1.1) is globally well-posed for initial data $(u(0),\partial_t u(0)) = (u_1^{in}, u_2^{in}) \in H^1\times L^2$ small enough. Here, we do not make any *a priori* assumption on the sign of m nor the nature of $F(u)$ that would guarantee that $E(u)$ is coercive and controls the $H^1\times L^2$ norm of some solutions. Instead, for general nonlinearity f as above, we consider global solutions whose energy norm is uniformly small in time. Another important property of (1.1) is that for odd initial data the associated solution is also odd for all time. For the rest of this paper, we work in such framework, and we consider only odd perturbations in the energy space. Note that (1.1) is invariant under space translation and under the Lorentz transformation but since we consider only odd solutions, these invariances are irrelevant here.

Set

$$u_1 = u, \quad u_2 = \partial_t u, \tag{1.3}$$

so that in terms of (u_1,u_2), equation (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 = u_2 \\ \partial_t u_2 = \partial_x^2 u_1 + m u_1 + f(u_1). \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Our main result is the following property for small, odd solutions of (1.4).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J61.

M. Kowalczyk was partially supported by Chilean research grants FONDECYT 1130126, Fondo Basal CMM-Chile.

C. Muñoz was partly funded by Chilean research grants FONDECYT 1150202, Fondo Basal CMM-Chile, and Millennium Nucleus Center for Analysis of PDE NC130017.

Part of this work was done while the authors were hosted at the IHES during the program *Nonlinear Waves 2016*. Their stay was partly funded by the grant ERC 291214 BLOWDISOL.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any odd global $H^1 \times L^2$ solution (u_1, u_2) of (1.4) such that*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \|(u_1(t), u_2(t))\|_{H^1\times L^2} < \varepsilon,$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|(u_1(t), u_2(t))\|_{H^1(I)\times L^2(I)} = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for any bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

In particular, no odd, small breather solutions exist for (1.1).

By *breather*, we mean a solution in $H^1 \times L^2$ which is periodic in time, up to the symmetries of the equation. Several integrable equations have *stable* breather solutions, including the sine-Gordon model [24]

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} = -\sin\phi. \tag{1.6}$$

See also [1, 2, 3] and references therein for more details on the literature.

Our paper was motivated by (and gives a partial answer to) a conjecture of Soffer and Weinstein [39] which says that no small amplitude breathers should exist for

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} = -\phi + \phi^3.$$

Our assumptions allows other classical examples such as the ϕ^4 model [42, 45, 35]

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} - \phi + \phi^3 = 0,$$

the ϕ^6 model [29],

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} = -\phi + 4\phi^3 - 3\phi^5,$$

and the sine-Gordon equation (1.6).

Let us discuss the sine-Gordon model (1.6) in more details. The energy of the sine Gordon equation is

$$E(\phi, \phi_t) = \int \frac{1}{2}\phi_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 + (1 - \cos\phi).$$

Recall that an explicit family of breathers is known [24]

$$B_\beta(t, x) = 4\arctan\Big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\frac{\cos(\alpha t)}{\cosh(\beta x)}\Big), \qquad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1.$$

The function B_β has arbitrarily small energy norm provided $|\beta|$ is small. Such breathers oscillate around the constant, finite energy state $u = 0$, which is linearly stable. Note that for all t, $B_\beta(t)$ is an even function, which proves in some sense the necessity of the oddness assumption to obtain a general result of asymptotic stability of the zero solution such as Theorem 1.1.

At a more technical level, the oddness assumption allows to avoid an *even* resonance of the linear operator, supporting the belief that the existence of breathers is partly related to the presence of resonances. However, the case of the ϕ^4 model suggests that the situation is more subtle in general. For this model, in the presence of a resonance, a formal argument due to Kruskal and Segur [23] excludes the existence of breathers of small amplitude oscillating around ± 1, which are linearly stable, constant states. As we mentioned above Theorem 1.1 implies nonexistence of odd breathers of the ϕ^4 model oscillating about 0, however our result does not apply for the case considered in [23] since the nonlinearity written for $u = \phi \pm 1$ is not odd.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we follow a simplified version of the method developed in our recent work [21] on asymptotic stability of the kink under odd perturbations for the ϕ^4 model. The main idea in [21] and in this paper is the introduction of a generalized *virial identity*, suitably constructed for each considered problem. Such approach is inspired by previous works by the second author and Merle [31, 32, 33] for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations and by Merle and Raphaël [34] for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Unlike in those works, here the analysis is performed for solutions in the neighborhood of 0 and the spectral analysis reduces to classical operators.

1.2. **Previous results.** Rigorous proofs of nonexistence of breathers solutions in scalar field equations date back to the work by Coron [10]. He showed that under the assumption of vanishing energy and L^∞ norm of the solution at infinity in space, and an L^1_{tx} integrability condition, breathers cannot have arbitrarily small periods. Later, Vuillermot [44] showed that under some growth and convexity assumptions on the nonlinearity, there are no breather solutions for suitable scalar field equations. Some formal arguments for existence and nonexistence of breather solutions can be found in Kichenassamy [19], and Birnir-McKean-Weinstein [6], respectively. Finally, Denzler [16] provided a proof of nonexistence of breather solutions for nonlinearities that are small, complex-analytic perturbations of the sine-Gordon case. From his result, it is concluded that the existence of breathers is a very rare property, probably related to the integrability of the equation.

As in the present paper, the nonexistence of breather solutions for (1.1) can also be seen as a consequence of the asymptotic stability of the vacuum solution in some topology. From this point of view, we refer to the original works of Buslaev and Perelman [7, 8], and Buslaev-Sulem [9] on the NLS case, and Soffer-Weinstein [39] on nonlinear Klein-Gordon models in 3D. See also the works by Delort [14, 15], Lindblad-Soffer [25, 26, 27], Bambusi and Cuccagna [4] and Sterbenz [40] on decay of small solutions for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one dimension. This method has been pushed forward by considering different nonlinearities and lower dimensions. For recent results in this area, see e.g. [38, 43, 36, 11, 12, 13, 20, 22, 5, 28].

2. Proof of the theorem

Step 1: Virial identity. Recall the set of coupled equations (1.4). For a smooth and bounded function ψ to be chosen later, let

$$\mathcal{I}(u) := \int \psi(\partial_x u_1)u_2 + \frac{1}{2}\int \psi' u_1 u_2 = \int \left(\psi\partial_x u_1 + \frac{1}{2}\psi' u_1\right) u_2. \tag{2.1}$$

Let (u_1, u_2) be a solution of (1.4). Since for any $v \in H^1$, $\int\left(\left(\psi v_x + \frac{1}{2}\psi' v\right) v = 0$, one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{I}(u) &= \int \left(\psi u_{1,x} + \frac{1}{2}\psi' u_1\right) u_{1,xx} + \int \left(\psi u_{1,x} + \frac{1}{2}\psi' u_1\right) f(u) \\ &= -\mathcal{B}(u_1) - \int \psi' \left[F(u_1) - \frac{1}{2}u_1 f(u_1)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where we have denoted

$$\mathcal{B}(u_1) = -\int \left(\psi u_{1,x} + \frac{1}{2}\psi' u_1\right) u_{1,xx} = \int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\int \psi''' u_1^2.$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(u_1) + \int \psi' \left[F(u_1) - \frac{1}{2}u_1 f(u_1)\right]. \tag{2.3}$$

Step 2: Coercivity of the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$. Now we choose a specific function ψ and we consider the question of the coercivity of the bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be fixed. We set

$$\psi(x) := \lambda \tanh\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

in the definition of $\mathcal{I}$. Note that $\zeta > 0$ everywhere. Let w be the following auxiliary function

$$w := \zeta u_1; \quad \zeta(x) := \sqrt{\psi'(x)} = \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

First, note that by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int w_x^2 &= \int (\zeta\partial_x u_1 + \zeta' u_1)^2 = \int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 + 2\int \zeta\zeta' u_1(\partial_x v_1) + \int (\zeta')^2 u_1^2 \\ &= \int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 - \int \zeta\zeta'' u_1^2 \\ &= \int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 - \int \frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} w^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 = \int w_x^2 + \int \frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} w^2. \tag{2.6}$$

Second,

$$\int \psi''' u_1^2 = \int \frac{(\zeta^2)''}{\zeta^2} w^2 = 2 \int \left(\frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} + \frac{(\zeta')^2}{\zeta^2} \right) w^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(u_1) &= \int \psi'(\partial_x u_1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int \psi''' u_1^2 \\ &= \int w_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} - \frac{(\zeta')^2}{\zeta^2} \right) w^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp(w) := \int \left(w_x^2 - V w^2 \right), \quad \text{where} \quad V := -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} - \frac{(\zeta')^2}{\zeta^2} \right),$$

so that

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp(w) = \mathcal{B}(u_1). \tag{2.8}$$

Note that by (2.4) and direct computations,

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Recall that the index of the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - V$ associated to $\mathcal{B}^\sharp$ is 1, which means that this operator has only one negative, discrete eigenvalue with an even corresponding eigenfunction. This follows from the well known fact (see e.g. Titchmarsh [41, §4.19] and [17, p. 55]) that for any $\lambda > 0$, the index κ of the operator

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{V_0}{\lambda^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \right), \quad V_0 \in [0, +\infty),$$

is the largest integer such that

$$\kappa < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4V_0 + 1} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here, our claim concerning $\mathcal{B}^\sharp$ follows from $1 < \frac{\sqrt{3}+1}{2} < 2$. In fact, we claim the following more precise result.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\lambda > 0$ and for any odd function $w \in H^1$,*

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp(w) \geq \frac{3}{4} \int w_x^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We write

$$\mathcal{B}^\sharp(w) = \frac{3}{4} \int w_x^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int \left(w_x^2 - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} \right) w^2 \right). \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4.2+1} + \frac{1}{2} = 2$, the second term in the right-hand side above is nonnegative for any odd function w, and the result follows. □

Step 3: Control of the error terms and conclusion of the Virial argument. As in statement of Theorem 1.1, we consider an odd solution $(u_1(t), u_2(t))$ of (1.4) global in $H^1 \times L^2$ for $t \geq 0$ and satisfying, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\|(u_1(t), u_2(t))\|_{H^1 \times L^2} < \varepsilon. \tag{2.12}$$

We define

$$\|u_1\|_{H^1_\omega}^2 := \int \left(|\partial_x u_1|^2 + u_1^2 \right) \operatorname{sech}(x), \quad \|u_2\|_{L^2_\omega}^2 := \int u_2^2 \operatorname{sech}(x), \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\|(u_1, u_2)\|_{H^1_\omega \times L^2_\omega}^2 := \|u_1\|_{H^1_\omega}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^2_\omega}^2. \tag{2.14}$$

The key ingredient of the proof of asymptotic stability in the energy space is the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|(u_1(t), u_2(t))\|^2_{H^1_\omega \times L^2_\omega} dt \lesssim \varepsilon^2. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We consider the virial-type quantity $\mathcal{I}(t)$ defined in (2.1) with $\lambda = 100$.

The proof of (2.15) is based on the following two estimates, which hold for some constant $C_1, C_2 > 0$:

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{I} \geq C_1 \|u_1\|^2_{H^1_\omega}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int \operatorname{sech}(x)\, u_1 u_2 \geq \|u_2\|^2_{L^2_\omega} - C_2 \|u_1\|^2_{H^1_\omega}. \tag{2.17}$$

First we prove (2.15) assuming (2.16) and (2.17). Integrating (2.16) on $[0, t_0]$, using the bound (2.12), and passing to the limit as $t_0 \to +\infty$, we find

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|u_1(t)\|^2_{H^1_\omega} dt \lesssim \varepsilon^2. \tag{2.18}$$

Then, using (2.17) similarly, we obtain (2.15).

Thus, to finish the proof, we only have to prove (2.16) and (2.17). We begin with the proof of (2.16). We have from (2.3) and (2.8),

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}(u_1) - \int \psi' \left[F(u_1) - \frac{1}{2} u_1 f(u_1) \right].$$

Recall from Section 2 the notation $w = u_1 \zeta = u_1 \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{x}{100}\right)$ (see (2.5)). From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(u_1) = \mathcal{B}^\sharp(w) \geq \frac{3}{4}\int w_x^2 \quad \text{and equivalently} \quad \int w_x^2 \geq \frac{1}{5.10^3}\int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) w^2.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{B}(u_1) \gtrsim \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} \gtrsim \int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) w^2 = \int \operatorname{sech}^4\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) u_1^2 \gtrsim \int \operatorname{sech}(x)\, u_1^2. \tag{2.19}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} &\gtrsim \int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) |\partial_x w|^2 = \int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) |\zeta \partial_x u_1 + \zeta' u_1|^2 \\
&\gtrsim \int \operatorname{sech}^4\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) |\partial_x u_1|^2 + 2\int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) \zeta\zeta'(\partial_x u_1) u_1 + \int \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right) (\zeta')^2 u_1^2 \\
&\gtrsim \int \operatorname{sech}(x) |\partial_x u_1|^2 + \int u_1^2 \left(-\left(\operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{x}{100}\right)\zeta\zeta'\right)' + \operatorname{sech}^2(x)(\zeta')^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (2.19),

$$\int \operatorname{sech}(x) |\partial_x u_1|^2 \lesssim \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} + \int \operatorname{sech}^2(x) u_1^2 \lesssim \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{B}(u_1).$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{B}(u_1) \gtrsim \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} \gtrsim \|u_1\|^2_{H^1_\omega}. \tag{2.20}$$

Now, we claim that for any $q > 0$,

$$\int \psi' |u_1|^{2+q} \lesssim \|u_1\|^q_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^q \|\partial_x w\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{2.21}$$

Indeed, by parity, the definition of ψ (2.4) and w (2.5), we have (with $\lambda = 100$)

$$\int \psi' |u_1|^{2+q} \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{2x}{\lambda}} |u_1|^{2+q} \lesssim \int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}} |w|^{2+q}.$$

Integrating by parts and using $w(0)=0$ (the function w is odd)

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}}|w|^{2+q} = -\frac{\lambda}{q}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}}\partial_x(|w|^{2+q}) = -\frac{2+q}{q}\lambda\int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}}(\partial_x w)w|w|^q$$
$$\leq C\|u_1\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{q}{2}}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{2\lambda}}|\partial_x w||w|^{1+\frac{q}{2}} \leq C^2\|u_1\|_{L^\infty}^q\int_0^{+\infty}|\partial_x w|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^{\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}}|w|^{2+q}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{q\frac{x}{\lambda}}|w|^{2+q} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L^\infty}^q\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and (2.21) is proved. Since, for some $p>1$,

$$\left|F(u_1)-\frac{1}{2}u_1 f(u_1)\right| \lesssim |u_1|^{p+1},$$

we estimate by (2.21),

$$\left|\int \psi'\left[F(u_1)-\frac{1}{2}u_1 f(u_1)\right]\right| \lesssim \varepsilon^{p-1}\|\partial_x w\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.22}$$

Combining (2.20) and (2.22) proves (2.16) for ε small enough.

Finally, we show (2.17). From (1.4), we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int \operatorname{sech}(x)u_1u_2 = \int \operatorname{sech}(x)u_2^2 - \int \operatorname{sech}(x)u_{1,x}^2 + \int\left[a\operatorname{sech}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sech}''(x)\right]u_1^2 + \int \operatorname{sech}(x)u_1 f(u_1).$$

From this, (2.17) follows readily by definition of the norm in $H^1_\omega\times L^2_\omega$ and (2.22). This ends the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

Step 4: Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$\mathcal{H}(t) := \int \operatorname{sech}(x)\left[u_{1,x}^2+u_1^2+u_2^2\right](t). \tag{2.23}$$

Then, using (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H} &= 2\int \operatorname{sech}(x)\left(u_{1,xt}u_{1,x}+u_{1,x}u_1+u_{2,t}u_2\right)\\ &= 2\int \operatorname{sech}(x)\left[u_{2,x}u_{1,x}+u_2u_1+\left(u_{1,xx}+mu_1+f(u_1)\right)u_2\right]\\ &= 2\int \operatorname{sech}(x)\left[(1+m)u_1+f(u_1)\right]u_2 - 2\int \operatorname{sech}'(x)u_2u_{1,x},\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and so

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}\right| \lesssim \int\left(u_{1,x}^2+u_1^2+u_2^2\right)\operatorname{sech}(x) \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^1_\omega\times L^2_\omega}^2. \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.15) there exists a sequence $t_n\to+\infty$ such that $\mathcal{H}(t_n)\to 0$. Let $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Integrating on $[t,t_n]$ and passing to the limit as $n\to+\infty$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(t) \lesssim \int_t^{+\infty}\|u(t)\|_{H^1_\omega\times L^2_\omega}^2 dt.$$

From (2.15) it follows that $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{H}(t)=0$. Thus, $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\|u\|_{H^1_\omega\times L^2_\omega}=0$. This implies (1.5) and finishes the proof of the Theorem.

References

[1] M.A. Alejo, and C. Muñoz, *Nonlinear stability of mKdV breathers*, Comm. Math. Phys. (2013), Vol. 324, Issue 1, pp. 233–262.

[2] M.A. Alejo, and C. Muñoz, *Dynamics of complex-valued modified KdV solitons with applications to the stability of breathers*, Anal. and PDE. **8** (2015), no. 3, 629–674.

[3] M.A. Alejo, C. Muñoz, and J. M. Palacios, *On the Variational Structure of Breather Solutions*, preprint arXiv:1309.0625.

[4] D. Bambusi, and S. Cuccagna, *On dispersion of small energy solutions to the nonlinear Klein Gordon equation with a potential*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 5, 1421–1468.

[5] F. Béthuel, P. Gravejat, and D. Smets, *Asymptotic stability in the energy space for dark solitons of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), in press, 2014.

[6] B. Birnir, H. McKean, and A. Weinstein, *The rigidity of Sine-Gordon breathers*, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., Vol. XLVII, 1043–1051 (1994).

[7] Vladimir Buslaev and Galina Perelman, *Scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations: states close to a soliton*, St. Petersburgh Math. J. **4** (1993), no. 6, 1111–1142.

[8] ———, *On the stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear evolution equations, 75–98, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 164, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[9] Vladimir Buslaev and Catherine Sulem, *On asymptotic stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **20** (2003), no. 3, 419–475.

[10] J.-M. Coron, *Période minimale pour une corde vibrante de longueur infinie*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris Série vol. 294 (18 Janvier 1982), p. 127.

[11] Scipio Cuccagna, *On asymptotic stability in 3D of kinks for the ϕ^4 model*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 5, 2581–2614 MR 2373326 (2009e:35181).

[12] Scipio Cuccagna, *The Hamiltonian structure of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the asymptotic stability of its ground states*, Comm. Math. Phys. **305** (2011), no. 2, 279–331.

[13] ———, *On asymptotic stability of moving ground states of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **366** (2014), no. 2827–2888.

[14] Jean-Marc Delort, *Existence globale et comportement asymptotique pour l'équation de Klein-Gordon quasi linéaire à données petites en dimension 1*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **34(4)** (2001) pp. 1–61.

[15] Jean-Marc Delort, *Semiclassical microlocal normal forms and global solutions of modified one-dimensional KG equations*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **66** (2016) 1451–1528. .

[16] J. Denzler, *Nonpersistence of breather families for the perturbed sine Gordon equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **158** (1993), 397–430.

[17] Gol'dman, I. I. and Krivchenkov, V. D. and Geĭlikman, B. T. and Marquit, E. and Lepa, E., *Problems in quantum mechanics*, Authorised revised ed. Edited by B. T. Geilikman; translated from the Russian by E. Marquit and E. Lepa, Pergamon Press, 1961.

[18] Daniel B. Henry, J. Fernando Perez, and Walter F. Wreszinski, *Stability theory for solitary-wave solutions of scalar field equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **85** (1982), no. 3, 351–361 MR 678151 (83m:35131).

[19] Kichenassamy, *Breather Solutions of the Nonlinear Wave Equation*, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., Vol. XLIV, 789-818 (1991).

[20] E. Kopylova and A. I. Komech, *On asymptotic stability of kink for relativistic Ginzburg-Landau equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **202** (2011), no. 1, 213–245. MR 2835867 (2012h:35333).

[21] M. Kowalczyk, Y. Martel, and C. Muñoz, *Kink dynamics in the ϕ^4 model: asymptotic stability for odd perturbations in the energy space*, preprint arXiv:1506.07420 (2015).

[22] J. Krieger, and W. Schlag, *Stable manifolds for all monic supercritical focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one dimension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 4, 815–920.

[23] M.D Kruskal and Harvey Segur, *Nonexistence of small-amplitude breather solutions in ϕ^4 theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58** (1987), no. 8, 747–750.

[24] G. L. Lamb, *Elements of Soliton Theory*, Pure Appl. Math., Wiley, New York, 1980.

[25] H. Lindblad and A. Soffer, *A Remark on long range scattering for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **2** (2005), no. 1, 77–89.

[26] ———, *A remark on asymptotic completeness for the critical nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **73** (2005), no. 3, 249–258.

[27] ———, *Scattering for the Klein-Gordon equation with quadratic and variable coefficient cubic nonlinearities*, (2013) preprint arXiv:1307.5882.

[28] H. Lindbland, and T. Tao, *Asymptotic decay for a one-dimensional nonlinear wave equation*, Anal. and PDE Vol. 5 (2012), No. 2, 411–422 DOI: 10.2140/apde.2012.5.411.

[29] M. A. Lohe, *Soliton structures in $P(\phi)_2$*, Phys. Rev. D. Vol. 20, no. 12, 15 dec. 1979, 3120–3130.

[30] Nicholas Manton and Paul Sutcliffe, *Topological solitons*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR 2068924 (2006d:58020).

[31] Yvan Martel and Frank Merle, *A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **79** (2000), no. 4, 339–425. MR 1753061 (2001i:37102).

[32] ———, *Asymptotic stability of solitons for subcritical generalized KdV equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **157** (2001), no. 3, 219–254.
[33] ———, *Asymptotic stability of solitons for subcritical gKdV equations revisited*. Nonlinearity, 18 (2005), no. 1, 55-80.
[34] Frank Merle and Pierre Raphael, *The blow-up dynamic and upper bound on the blow-up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 1, 157–222. MR 2150386 (2006k:35277).
[35] Michael E. Peskin and Daniel V. Schroeder, *An introduction to quantum field theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1995, Edited and with a foreword by David Pines. MR 1402248 (97j:81001).
[36] I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag, and A. Soffer, *Asymptotic stability of N-soliton states of NLS*, preprint arXiv:math/0309114.
[37] Harvey Segur, *Wobbling kinks in φ^4 and sine-Gordon theory*, J. Math. Phys. **24** (1983), no. 6, 1439–1443. MR 708660 (84i:35130).
[38] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Time dependent resonance theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 6, 1086–1128. MR 1664792 (99k:81329)
[39] ———, *Resonances, radiation damping and instability in Hamiltonian nonlinear wave equations*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), no. 1, 9–74. MR 1681113 (2000k:37119).
[40] J. Sterbenz, *Dispersive Decay for the 1D Klein-Gordon Equation with Variable Coefficient Nonlinearities*, preprint (arXiv:1307.4808).
[41] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated With Second-order Differential Equations*, Oxford University Press, 1946.
[42] Tanmay Vachaspati, *Kinks and domain walls*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006, An introduction to classical and quantum solitons. MR 2282481 (2008k:82003).
[43] T.-P. Tsai, and H.T. Yau, *Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: resonance-dominated and dispersion-dominated solutions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), no. 2, 153–216.
[44] P.-A. Vuillermot, *Nonexistence of spatially localized free vibrations for a class of nonlinear wave equations*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **64** (1987) 573–586.
[45] Edward Witten, *From superconductors and four-manifolds to weak interactions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **44** (2007), no. 3, 361–391 (electronic). MR 2318156 (2008e:58021).

Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático (UMI 2807 CNRS), Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile.
E-mail address: kowalczy@dim.uchile.cl

CMLS, École polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
E-mail address: yvan.martel@polytechnique.edu

CNRS and Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático (UMI 2807 CNRS), Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile.
E-mail address: claudio.munoz@math.u-psud.fr, cmunoz@dim.uchile.cl